UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JEREMY WILBURN,

Plaintiff, Case No. 2:23-cv-13170

v. Honorable Susan K. DeClercq United States District Judge

BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS AND EXPLOSIVES et al..

Defendar	nts.
	,

ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING ADDRESSING WHETHER THE COURT'S FORTHCOMING OPINION AND ORDER SHOULD BE FILED UNDER SEAL

The Court is prepared to issue an opinion and order resolving the Government's motion to dismiss, ECF Nos. 19; 23 (sealed), and Wilburn's motion for preliminary injunction, ECF No. 38. Before doing so, the Court would like the parties to address whether the forthcoming opinion should be filed under seal. So far, the parties have differed in their approach to filing under seal: the Government, mindful that its papers contain information that may be prohibited from disclosure

¹ The Court remains mindful that there is a "strong presumption of openness" as to court records, *Shane Grp., Inc. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Mich.*, 825 F.3d 299, 305 (6th Cir. 2016) (quoting *Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. F.T.C.*, 710 F.2d 1165, 1180 (6th Cir. 1983)). That is because, at the adjudication stage, "the public is entitled to assess for itself the merits of judicial decisions, and so "[o]nly the most compelling reasons can justify non-disclosure of judicial records." *Id.* (quoting *In re Knoxville News–Sentinel Co.*, 723 F.2d 470, 476 (6th Cir. 1983)).

Case 2:23-cv-13170-SKD-CI ECF No. 53, PageID.1098 Filed 03/07/25 Page 2 of 2

under Michigan law, has filed its papers under seal. See Mich. Comp. Laws §

780.623(5). On the other hand, Wilburn has filed nothing under seal, even though

his papers refer to that same information.

Therefore, the parties will be directed to file supplemental briefing on the

issue. Each party's supplemental brief must state the party's position with respect to

sealing the forthcoming opinion and set forth the legal basis supporting that position.

Shane Grp., 825 F.3d at 306 (citing Brown & Williamson, 710 F.2d at 1176) (noting

that courts must consider "why the interests in support of nondisclosure are

compelling, why the interests supporting access are less so, and why the seal itself

is no broader than necessary"). If the parties agree one way or another, they may (but

need not) submit a joint supplemental brief rather than two separate briefs. Each

supplemental brief may not exceed 5 pages.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Parties are **DIRECTED** to file the

above-described supplemental briefing on or before March 13, 2025.

/s/Susan K. DeClercq SUSAN K. DeCLERCO

United States District Judge

Dated: March 7, 2025

- 2 -